Sl. No.	Name of the Tribunal	No. of cases pending
3.	Hyderabad	2529
4.	Chennai	4306
5.	Patna	1302
6.	Calcutta	2085
7.	Jaipur	2560
8.	Jabalpur	3218
9.	Ahmedabad	2359
10.	Guwahati	375
11.	Ernakulam	1097
12.	Delhi	2750

(b) Banks set individually targets for recovery of loans with the approval of their Boards. Banks take action for recovery by constant follow up pursuation, negotiated settlements and if nothing succeeds, suits are filed.

Non-implementation of Consignment tax in Bihar

†3164. SHRI VIJAY SINGH YADAV: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether a decision to impose consignment tax in Bihar was taken in 1983;

(b) if so, what are the reasons for not implementing this decision so far;

(c) by when it is likely to be implemented; and

(d) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE (SHRI V. DHANANJAY KUMAR): (a) to (d) The Constitution (46th Amendment) Act 1982 which came into effect from 2nd February, 1983 has empowered the Union Government to legislate on levy of tax on consignment of goods where such consignment takes place in the course of inter-state trade or commerce. The Union Government has not levied any consignment tax, as it is likely to hinder inter-State movement of goods.

† Original notice of the Question was received in Hindi.